fact that it's outside the scope of the expert's report.

·		J XIIII TOTUMO D		1 desday, 111ay 0, 200.
		Page 875		Page 877
	ı		1	THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor.
١	2	Q. Okay. I'd like to finally go back to the SWOT	2	Thank you, folks.
Ì	3	analysis and just be sure that we understand and you make	3	(Witness excused)
	4	clear to us whose perspective the SWOT analysis is done	4	
	5	`from.	5	MR. MacFERRIN: Good afternoon. My name is
	6	MR. MR. HEBERT: So if we could get PX-343,	6	Kurtis MacFerrin. I'm one of the attorneys representing
	7	please And it would be Page 48 within the document.	7	Smith & Nephew. And I will be calling Dr. Kim Manwaring
- 1	8	BY MR. HEBERT:	8	as Smith & Nephew's next witness. Dr. Manwaring will
	9	Q. You were shown this page in cross-examination, Mr.	9	testify about his opinion that certain claims in the '882
١	10	Sparks. The SWOT analysis begins at the bottom of the	10	patent are invalid.
	11	page and it's for ArthroCare; right?	11	Your Honor, for its next witness, Smith & Nephew
	12	A. Yes, that is correct.	12	calls Dr. Manwaring.
	13	Q. Okay. From whose perspective is a SWOT analysis	13	THE COURT: All right. I think we'll have to
١	14	supposed to be written from?	14	speak into the microphone a little bit more.
- 1	15	A. Again, as I said earlier, you put yourself into the	15	MR. MacFERRIN: All right. I will, your Honor.
	16	shoes, if you will, of the other company and think about	16	THE COURT: Thank you.
	17	their business as they would, so that you're looking at	17	MR. HEBERT: Your Honor, if I might tidy up
	18	it from the inside out and making determinations about	18	Julie, if you can assist
	19	what strength, weaknesses, opportunities and threats exist.	19	A VOICE: Yes.
j	20	Q. Would it be fair to say that in doing a SWOT analysis,	20	MR. HEBERT: I'll help.
- 1	21	you are pretending to be the other company and thinking	21	
- 1	22	about how they would view the world?	22	DR. KIM MANWARING, having
- 1	23	MR. BLUMENFELD: Objection, leading.	23	been duly sworn as a witness, was
- 1	24		24	examined and testified as follows
ł	25	THE WITNESS: Yes. The answer to the question	25	
		Page 876		Page 878
	1	is you are putting yourselves in their shoes, as I said, so	1	1.080 070
		you are pretending you are ArthroCare or Johnson & Johnson	2	DIRECT EXAMINATION
		or Olympus or Mitek or whomever.	3	BY MR. MacFERRIN:
	4	BY MR. HEBERT:	4	Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Manwaring.
ı	5	Q. And what is the purpose of doing that, of pretending	5	A. Good afternoon.
١	6	you are the other company?	6	Q. Would you please introduce yourself to the jury?
1		A. It eliminates it makes it more objective and less	1	A. Yes. I'm Kim Manwaring.
-	8	subjective. So if you do it and you use your own biases	ł	Q. Where do you live, Mr. Manwaring?
	9	and opinions, then you will miss what is a strength or a		A. Phoenix, Arizona.
	10	weakness or opportunity or threat so you avoid doing that.	10	Q. Are you married?
- 1	11	And that's the reason why you pretend to be the other	11	A. Yes.
- 1	12	company, so that you make sure you touch everything that	12	Q. Do you have any children?
- 1	13	needs to be looked at, ultimately to figure out what their	13	A. Yes.
	14	strategy might be. You're guessing at their strategy.	14	Q. How many?
ı	15	Q. Why would you want to try to figure out what the	15	A. Three.
- 1	16	strategy of a competitor might be?	16	
- 1	17	A. It's all about anticipation. Our goal as an	17	
- 1	18	organization is to always be the most technologically	18	Q. You're a medical doctor?
- 1		advanced in every area we operate in so you are using that	19	
- 1	20	as an opportunity to anticipate how they may act and,	20	Q. How long have you practiced medicine?
- 1	21	therefore, make sure that your own strategy is not one	21	A. Since 1982.
- 1	22	that is going to lead you down the wrong path.	22	Q. And where is your practice?
- 1	23	MR. HEBERT: Nothing further.	23	A. In Phoenix.
ı	2 <i>3</i> 24	THE COURT: All right. You may step down.	24	Q. And where specifically do you practice?
- 1		Thank you very much.	1	A. Phoenix Children's Hospital.
- 11			1	

Page 882

Page 879

- 1 Q. And what is your practice?
- 2 A. I specialize in the subspecialty of neurosurgery
- 3 called pediatric neurosurgery.
- 4 Q. Does that work include clinical and research?
- 5 A. In my position, that is correct.
- 6 Q. What kind of research do you do?
- 7 A. A variety of dissection and monitoring technologies
- 8 that may eventually impact diseases affecting children.
- 9 Q. Does that work include developing devices for use in
- 10 surgeries?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. What kind of devices are those?
- 13 A. Techniques, actual instruments or tools used in the
- 14 operating rooms of neurosurgeons to facilitate the outcome.
- 15 Q. How often are you in the operating room?
- 16 A. I do about 300 surgical procedures a year.
- 17 Typically, five or six cases a week would be common.
- 18 Q. What kind of procedures do you perform?
- 19 A. A variety of problems for children, include the
- 20 management of head trauma such as the swelling or
- 21 hemorrhage in the brain when a child suffers an accident
- 22 like falling off a bicycle or hit by a car. Turnour
- 23 surgery, a form of cancer within the brain or spinal cord.
- 24 Malformations of the spinal cord or nervous system such
- 25 as spina bifida, reconstruction surgeries of the head and

- 1 instruments that allow the dissection or focusing or
- 2 coagulation or actual removal of tissue through that spot
- 3 and hence the term micro, because it's through an
- 4 endoscope where they're magnifying and it's very tiny to
- 5 operate, typically on the range of, oh, a 16th of an inch,
- 6 an 8th of an inch area.
- 7 Q. That is because you are operating on children?
- 8 A. No, these principles also apply to adult
- 9 neurosurgery. And I do do adult neurosurgery occasionally
- 10 when it applies to my specialty.
- 1 Q. Do you have any patents or publications in the field
- 12 of electrosurgery?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Roughly, how many?
- 15 A. I have two patents in electrosurgery.
- 16 Q. And roughly how many publications do you have?
- 17 A. Several. I'd have to look at my curriculum vitae or
- 18 CV to count them.
- 19 Q. What is a curriculum vitae?
- 20 A. It's a summary or listing of my publications,
- 21 presentations, patents, funding I've received to do
- 22 research.
- 23 Q. Could you turn please to Tab DTX-427 in your binder?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Can you identify that for us?

Page 880

- 1 face when it's malformed.
- 2 Q. Do you use -- what kind of devices do you use to
- 3 perform those procedures?
- 4 A. The instruments commonly consist of dissectors or
- 5 knives, forms of tweezers or forceps. Neurosurgery
- 6 contains a number of very specialized instruments because
- 7 of the constraints or limitations of working on the brain.
- 8 Q. Do you use any electrosurgical devices in your
- 9 practice?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And what kind of devices are those?
- 12 A. Monopolar and bipolar electrode surgery is common or
- 13 main stage neurosurgery.
- 14 Q. Do you use any devices that you developed?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. What device is that?
- 17 A. A common device I use is called the Cogman ME2,
- 18 which is a contraption used as an micro endoscopic
- 19 dissector.
- 20 Q. When you say that, in other words, what is a
- 21 microscopic dissector?
- 22 A. When we enter into the brain through a small
- 23 incision, we can actually pass an endoscope deep into a
- 24 target with minimal injury to the brain. When we're
- 25 visualizing that target within the brain, we use

- 1 A. Yes. This is my curriculum vitae.
- 2 Q. Is that like a resume?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Is this an accurate description of your curriculum
- 5 vitae as of the time it was updated in October of 2002?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 MR. MacFERRIN: Your Honor, I ask this exhibit,
- 8 DTX-427, be admitted into evidence.
- 9 MR. BOBROW: No objection.
- 10 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 11 THE DEPUTY CLERK: So marked.
  - \*\*\* (Defendant's Exhibit No. 427 was received into
- 13 evidence.)

12

- 14 BY MR. MacFERRIN:
- 15 Q. Have you received any awards for your work?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. More than one?
- 18 A. I'm sorry?
- 19 Q. Have you received more than one?
- 20 A. Yes
- 21 Q. Now, I'd like to ask specifically about this case.
- 22 How did you first become involved in this case?
- 23 A. About one year ago, you, Mr. MacFerrin contacted me
- 24 asking me if I would be willing to review the patent in
- 25 question as it related to certain claims.

Page 883

- I Q. Are you being compensated for your time working on
- 2 this case?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. What were you asked to do?
- 5 A. I was asked to read through and compare also to my
- patent, and render a judgment whether I felt those claims
- 7 were valid.
- 8 Q. Could you turn please to JTX-2, the binder in front
- 9 of you?
- 10 A. (Witness complied.)
- 11 Q. What is this?
- 12 A. Yes. This is the patent you asked me to review. It
- 13 is authored by Mr. Eggers and it is referred to subsequently
- 14 as the '882 patent.
- 15 Q. What work did you do for your review of this patent?
- 16 A. I read through this patent as well as some additional
- 17 materials, including my patent, and reviewed in discussion
- 18 with you principally by telephone at a distance my
- 19 reactions to it.
- 20 Q. Other than your patent, were there any other
- 21 materials that you reviewed?
- 22 A. Yes. I was subsequently given additional materials
- 23 that included the opinion of Dr. Goldberg.
- 24 Q. Did you form an opinion on whether or not the '882
- 25 patent claims are valid?

- 1 is called a tissue vaporizing accessory and method for
- 2 an endoscope.
- 3 Q. Are you familiar with the term monopolar?
- 4 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you read Mr. Eggers' testimony about the '882
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Did you read the part where he discusses Claim 1 of
- his patent includes monopolar?
- 10 A. That can employ and work with a monopolar approach,
- that's correct.
- Q. What kind of device is your device?
- 13 A. My device is monopolar.
- 14 MR. MacFERRIN: Your Honor, I move that DTX-46
- 15 be admitted into evidence.
- 16 THE COURT: And actually it shouldn't have been
- 17 on the screen until it was admitted into evidence.
- 18 Is there any objection?
- 19 MR. BOBROW: No objection.
  - THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
- 21 MR. MacFERRIN: I apologize.
- 22 THE COURT: That's all right.
- 23 BY MR. MacFERRIN:
- 24 Q. Did the device described by this patent ever become a
- 25 product?

20

Page 884

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. What is your opinion?
- 3 A. I feel that the claims and limitations are, in large
- 4 part, not valid. When I say in large part, some of them I
- 5 feel are unique and valid.
- 6 O. How about Claims 13 -- if I could direct your
- 7 attention to Claims 13 and 54 of the '882 patent...
- Did you reach an opinion on the validity of
- 9 those patents?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. What is your opinion?
- 12 A. They are not valid.
- 13 Q. I'd now like to ask you about your patent. If you
- 14 could turn please to DTX-46 in your binder...
- 15 MR. MacFERRIN: Gary, could you pull this up,
- 16 please?
- 17 BY MR. MacFERRIN:
- 18 Q. Is this the '138 patent from which your opinion is
- 19 based?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Is that your name there?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Now, what does this patent show?
- 24 A. This is the description of a device which I
- 25 developed and routinely use in the operating room. It

- 1. A. My patent?
- 2 Q. Yes.
- 3 A. Yes, that is a product.
- 4 Q. And what is the name of that product?
- 5 A. Yes, this is the Cogman ME2 I was referring to
- 6 earlier in one of your previous questions.
- 7 Q. Do you make or sell that product?
- A. No.
- 9 Q. Does someone else make or sell that product?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Who is that?
- 12 A. It's marketed by the Division of Neurosurgery
- 13 within Johnson & Johnson which is called Cogman.
- 14 Q. I'd now like to turn to the basis for your opinions.
- 15 A. Okay.
- 16 Q. What is the basis for your opinion that Claims 13
- 17 and 54 of the '882 patent are invalid in view of your
- 18 patent?
- A. I feel my patent describes each of the those 19
- 20 entities when read carefully matched component to
- 21 component.
- Q. I'd now like to ask you how did you that analysis. 22
- 23 MR. MacFERRIN: Gary, could you please put up
- 24 DTX-201?
- 25

2

Page 887

2 BY MR. MacFERRIN:

- 3 Q. If I could correct your attention to this slide.
- 4 Could you tell us what this is showing us?
- 5 A. The pictogram on the right is derived directly from
- 6 my patent on the front page and shows the tip of my
- 7 vaporizing accessory.
- 8 On the left, in the left column under Claim 1
- 9 of '882 is the word description or first claim of Mr.
- 10 Eggers' patent.
- 11 Q. Would it help you to have a laser pointer to use?
- 12 A. I suppose.
- 13 MR. MacFERRIN: Your Honor, may I approach?
- 14 THE COURT: Yes, you may.
- 15 BY MR. MacFERRIN:
- 16 Q. Before I ask you about this, I just want to make sure
- 17 that I was clear about one thing about Mr. Eggers'
- 18 testimony you read. His testimony about monopolar, that
- 19 did not concern your patent, did it?
- 20 A. Not that I understand, no.
- 21 Q. Did that concern his patent, the '882 patent?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Does your patent disclose the first part of Claim 1
- 24 shown here, method for applying energy to a target site
- 25 on a patient body structure?

Page 888

- 1 A. Yes. In fact, I feel Claim 1 is a very good
- 2 description of my patent.
- 3 Q. Have you read Dr. Goldberg's rebuttal report?
- 4 A. Yes
- 5 Q. Did you read that after you had submitted your report?
- 6 A. No, I read it first. I'm talking about that which
- 7 was supplied to me about two months ago. I read his
- 8 report at that point but the rebuttal I've been aware of
- 9 subsequently; only recently read that, perhaps three days
- 10 ago.
- 11 Q. But you have read that?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And in his report, in that rebuttal report, does he
- 14 disagree with you that is patent discloses limitations?
- 15 A. Yes. Oh, I'm sorry. I misunderstood you. His
- 16 disagrees about limitations and my interpretation, but he
- 17 does not disagree with this Claim 1 that also describes
- 18 my patent.
- 19 Did I understand you correctly?
- 20 Q. I think you did.
- 21 A. Okay. Could you talk just a little louder?
- 22 Q. Okay. Sorry.
- 23 A. Thank you.
- 24 Q. I'm getting over a cold.
- 25 MR. MacFERRIN: Could I have the next graphic,

1 please?

This is DDTX -- actually DDTX-202. Could I have

3 DDTX-202 please?

- Okay.
- 5 BY MR. MacFERRIN:
- 6 Q. Well, let me ask you, about -- sorry.
- 7 (Pause.)
- 8 BY MR. MacFERRIN:
- 9 Q. Here we go. It looks like it's a little out of
- 10 order. I apologize. This is DDTX-204. And I'd like to
- 11 ask you about -- do you see that? What is this graphic
- 12 showing us?
- 13 A. Well, again, this is my same picture on the front of
- 14 my patent, but the tip of it, the tip of the electrode
- 15 within the tip of the catheter itself or the device that
- 16 is passed through an endoscope is highlighted in red, and
- 17 on the left is a column extracting or highlighting
- 18 certain words of Mr. Eggers' patent providing an
- 19 electrode terminal, and here in my description of my
- 20 patent is essentially the identical description.
- 21 Q. Can you point out, is there an electrode terminal?
- 22 A. Yes. I'm sorry. The first end of the electrical
- 23 conductor extends coaxially through the tube.
- This is the equivalent of the electrode
- 25 terminal.

MR. MacFERRIN: May I have DDTX-202?

- 2 BY MR. MacFERRIN:
- 3 Q. And what is this graphic showing us?
- 4 A. Again, the same picture, and again, wording from
- 5 Claim 1 from Mr. Eggers' and wording from my patent.
- 6 Here, we're describing the necessity to have this device
- 7 function correctly is that of a return electrode which is
- 8 electrically coupled to a high-frequency voltage source.
- 9 In my description, in accordance with standard
- 10 practice, the RF generator, radio frequency generator, is
- 11 grounded to the patient on whom surgery is to be performed.
- 12 Q. And in his report, does Dr. Goldberg disagree with
- 13 your conclusion that your patent discloses this feature?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. Did he disagree with the previously-featured
- 16 electrode terminal that which was disclosed in your
- 17 patent?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 MR. MacFERRIN: Can I have the next graphic,
- 20 please?
- 21 BY MR. MacFERRIN:
- 22 Q. Would you please explain to us what this graphic is
- 23 showing?
- 24 A. In the '882 patent of Mr. Eggers, highlighted terms
- 25 are, relate more to the method or technique of use now

```
Page 891
1 that the electrode terminal is positioned in close
  proximity to the target site in the presence of an
3
  electrically conducting fluid.
          Then derived from my patent, as illustrated
```

in Figures 2 and 4, a source of pressurized fluid such as

electrically conductive saline can be injected into the

second input of the Tuohy-Borst adaptors. This is how 7 my device is hooked up. These are merely connectors.

Q. In his report, does Dr. Goldberg disagree that your

patent discloses this feature?

A. No.

12 MR. MacFERRIN: For the record, this is 13 DDTX-204.

14 Could I next have -- actually, yes, can I next 15 have DDTX-205? DDTX-206.

(Pause.)

17 18

16

19 20

21 22 23

24

25

2 BY MR. MacFERRIN:

3 Q. Dr. Manwaring, what is this graphic?

4 A. This is, again, that same format. In Mr. Gregor's

5 patent he explains it is necessary to apply a high-

6 frequency voltage between the electrode terminal and the

7 return electrode. The high-frequency voltage being

sufficient to vaporize the fluid in a thin layer over at

least a portion of the electrode terminal. 10

In my device, I describe the exact same 11 concept. The adjacent tissue is rapidly dessicated and

12 then vaporized. Such RF sparking followed by fluid

13 vaporization is generally referred to as fulguration and

14 is a well-known phenomenon. 15

I should explain, in my picture, fluid-filled 16 medium, the tip of this electrode is placed in salt-laden

17 fluid. In the instance of the brain, that as

18 cerebrospinal fluid. In the instance of other targets, it

19 is very similar fluid, call physiologic saline, and acts

20 the same from an RF or electrosurgery point.

21 Q. That spark that you described, what does that

22 reflect?

23 A. Off of the tip of the electrode emits a spark. Since

24 there is salt fluid in the tip of that environment, as RF

25 or electricity is passed down through that tip, the salt

1 fluid is conductive of electricity.

So as current heat passes through it, it heats 2

the fluid in the tiny recessed tip area. That creates a

steam barrier. And now the electricity passes across by

sparking or arcing, which is an essential component for

my device to work.

7 Q. You mentioned steam. Does that have an appearance?

Do you see bubbles?

A. Yes. In operation, one does visualize bubbles.

Q. And the spark or arc that you described, does that 10

11 have -- can you describe that appearance for us?

12 A. Yes. It has a kind of yellow-orange glow. 13

MR. MacFERRIN: If I can have DDTX-206...

14 BY MR. MacFERRIN:

Q. Dr. Manwaring, could you describe what we are seeing 15

16 here?

17 A. Yes. In Claim 13 now of Mr. Eggers' patent, the

method of Claim 1 wherein at least a portion of the energy

19 induced is in the form of photons having a wavelength in

20 the ultraviolet spectrum.

21 Then in my patent, such RF sparking is

22 generally referred to as fulguration and is a well-known

phenomenon. 23

Page 892

24 Q. Does that sparking result in ultraviolet light?

25 A. I am sure it does.

Page 894 Q. Why are you sure that it does?

2 MR. BOBROW: Object, your Honor. That is 3 beyond the scope of his report.

THE COURT: Overruled. The specific matter

that we discussed cannot be admitted. If there is another

basis for that opinion, that doesn't involve the matter we

discussed, then I will allow the question. 7 8

MR. MacFERRIN: Thank you, your Honor. 9

THE WITNESS: I can answer? 10 BY MR. MacFERRIN:

11 Q. Yes.

4

12 A. When an electrode is put into salt water, whether

13 it is a monopolar pencil blade or my electrode or Mr. Eggers' electrode, if it is in salt water and electricity

15 is passing through it with sufficient intensity to create

sparking, that sparking emits light. And some of that

17 light is perceivable by the eye, which is the orange/

18 yellow glow I described, but some of it is not perceivable

19 by the eye, which is outside of that range.

20 Q. Can you think of any other examples of something you

21 can't see, but you know it's there?

22 A. Sure. In the instance of light, since we are

23 talking about light, most of us are familiar with the

24 famous scientist Isaac Newton. Isaac Newton held up a 25 prism in the sunlight and, as the sunlight passed through

1 the prism, he saw on the back wall a whole display of

- 2 colors, which we now refer to as a rainbow, because the
- 3 exact same thing happens in the sky as sunlight passes
- 4 through moisture.
- 5 And that rainbow includes colors that we are
- 6 all familiar with, tapering out at both ends to no other
- colors.
- 8 But Isaac Newton found that there were other
- 9 colors in that light spectrum that couldn't be seen with
- 10 the eye. In fact, he is the one who gave us the term
- 11 infrared, which means below red, because he discerned that
- 12 there was heat being emitted in the prism beyond where
- 13 there was no light.
- 14 So infrared is an example of light you can't
- 15 see but you can feel. The other end of the spectrum is
- 16 ultraviolet, which is also there, but we can't see it with
- 17 our eyes. We know it's there.
- 18 MR. MacFERRIN: May I have the next one.
- 19 please?
- 20 BY MR. MacFERRIN:
- 21 Q. DDTX-207. Dr. Manwaring, could you describe for us
- 22 what this graphic is showing?
- 23 A. Yes. Again, in Mr. Gregor's patent is a Claim No. 54,
- 24 which also derives from Claim 1. It describes a method
- 25 with a device further comprising evacuating fluid generated

Page 896

- 1 at the target site with a suction lumen having a distal end
- 2 adjacent to the electrode terminal.
- And in my patent, the similar wording, again.
- 4 Again, this tip is in that fluid environment. In such
- 5 an alternative embodiment of the invention, a neutral or
- 6 negative pressure could be provided within the fluid
- 7 interior of Tube 28 such that the fluid from the fluid-
- 8 filled medium of the working environment could be sucked
- 9 or drawn up to a sufficient elevation.
- 10 Q. So does your patent disclose this additional feature
- 11 of Claim 54?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. If I could ask you now to turn to DTX-46 in your
- 14 binder...
- Dr. Manwaring, do you understand your patent --
- 16 what date did your patent issue?
- 17 A. June 16, 1992, my patent was issued.
- 18 Q. And looking at Item 22 on the left, do you see that
- 19 there, Dr. Manwaring?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. What date was the application for your patent filed?
- 22 A. November 28, 1990.
- 23 MR. MacFERRIN: Thank you.
- 24 THE COURT: Cross-examination.

- CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 3 BY MR. BOBROW:
- Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Manwaring.
- 5 A. Good afternoon.
- 6 Q. We met briefly in the hallway. My name is Jared
- 7 Bobrow. I am one of the attorneys representing ArthroCare
- 8 Corporation.
- First of all, you still have your patent, the
- 10 '138 patent; is that right?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And if I understood what you just testified to about
- 13 ultraviolet photons, it's your testimony that where you
- 14 refer in this patent to sparking, that that is a
- 15 disclosure of the emission of ultraviolet photons; is that
- 16 right?
- 17 A. The emission of all light that arises from that
- 18 process.
- 19 Q. Does that include ultraviolet photons?
- 20 A. Sure.
- 21 Q. Now, your patent never refers to ultraviolet photons,
- 22 does it?
- 23 A. No.
- 24 Q. There is no mention in it, in fact, of ultraviolet
- 25 light; is that correct?

That's somet

- 1 A. That's correct.
- 2 Q. And at the time that you prepared your report in
- 3 this matter back in February of this year, you hadn't
- 4 done any tests to determine whether the device that is
- 5 described in your '138 patent emits photons of
- 6 ultraviolet light. Is that true?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. Now, its also true, is it not, that back at the time
- 9 of your report, back in February, you were not aware of
- 10 anybody else doing any testing on your device that is
- 11 described here in the '138 patent to show that it emits
- 12 ultraviolet photons; correct?
- 13 A. At that time, that's correct.
- 14 Q. You are not a physicist. Is that true?
- 15 A. No, I am not a physicist.
- 16 Q. You do not have a degree in physics; is that right?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. You do not have a degree in electrical engineering.
- 19 Is that true?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 Q. Now, with respect to your testimony, you mentioned
- 22 something about Isaac Newton; right?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. And you mentioned that he detected that there was
- 25 infrared light beyond the visible portion of the rainbow.

## Page 899

- 1 True?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 Q. It sounds like he did some sort of a test; is that
- 4 right?
- 5 A. Yes. He held a prism into the sun.
- 6 Q. And he was able to then detect heat beyond the area;
- 7 correct?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And so he detected this empirically; is that right?
- 10 A. That's fair to say.
- 11 Q. And at the time that you prepared your report, you
- 12 did nothing empirically to determine that UV photons are
- 13 emitted by the device that is described in your patent,
- 14 the '138 patent. Is that true?
- 15 A. Yes. At that time I had not.
- 16 Q. Now, your device that you describe in here is a
- 17 monopolar device; correct?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. And that means that the return electrode is attached
- 20 someplace to the outside of the patient's body; correct?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And oftentimes that's attached to the thigh or the
- 23 back or what-have-you. True?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 Q. So when you mention that there was electrically

## Page 900

- I conducting fluid in the brain, for example, I take it that
- 2 the return electrode in your invention is not in contact
- 3 in any way with that electrically conductive fluid. Is
- 4 that true?
- 5 A. Yes. In the sense that it's attached to the outside
- 6 of the body and one is working on the inside, I believe
- 7 that's a fair characterization.
- 8 Q. So the return electrode in your invention doesn't
- 9 contact the electrically conductive fluid; is that right?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. Now, you also, I believe, talked about on your
- 12 direct examination this issue of suction.
- 13 MR. BOBROW: Perhaps we can put Figure 5 of the
- 14 '138 patent up on the screen.
- 15 If you can blow that up, Chris, I would
- 16 appreciate it.
- 17 BY MR. BOBROW:
- 18 Q. Here, Dr. Manwaring, you see there it says fluid-filled
- 19 medium; is that right?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And what we are looking at there is the tip of this
- 22 device. Is that true?
- 23 A. That's correct.
- 24 Q. And the fluid-filled medium in the case of surgery
- 25 on the brain is that cerebrospinal fluid; right?

- 1 A. No, not necessarily. Just like arthroscopic
- 2 surgery, we must maintain a certain amount of brain
- 3 enlargement because we have entered in with a trochar.
- 4 And, therefore, we inject fluid, which is compatible or
- 5 like cerebrospinal fluid, which happens to be physiologic
- 6 saline or something very similar to it.
- 7 Q. But in your -- let me ask a different question.
- 8 The brain is surrounded by cerebrospinal fluid; is that
- 9 right?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. In fact, the body generates its on cerebrospinal
- 12 fluid; is that right?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. About how much a day?
- 15 A. About 700 milliliters. That would be a typical --
- 16 that is almost a quart for your reference. Most adults
- 17 would make about that much a day.
- 18 Q. And the brain is surrounded by that, such when you
- 19 go into the surgical site there is cerebrospinal fluid
- 20 that is present; correct?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And in your patent, there is one embodiment where
- 23 you talk about introducing some saline through that tube;
- 24 right?
- 25 A. Yes.

Page 902

- 1 Q. And the rate at which the saline is introduced is
- 2 at the rate of a couple of drops a second; right?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. About three or four drops per second. True?
- 5 A. You could perhaps show me where you are referring.
- 6 Q. That is Column 7, if you would like to look. Column 7,
- 7 about Line 10.
- 8 A. But for your purposes, I have no concern about that.
- 9 Q. Now, if we could go to Column 7 of the patent, please.
- 10 The paragraph that begins at Line 11 and goes to Line 31.
  - MR. BOBROW: If you could please highlight
- 12 that...

11

- 13 BY MR. BOBROW:
- 14 Q. Dr. Manwaring, here in your patent you are describing
- 15 an embodiment in which you are not delivering fluid to the
- 16 surgical site; is that right?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. In fact, at Lines 19 through 21, it begins by saying,
- 19 If the source of pressurized fluid as illustrated in
- 20 Figure 2 were omitted, some alternative means would have
- 21 to be provided to fill at least the interior tip of 32
- 22 with fluid to enable the invention to operate in the
- 23 fulguration mode as described above, and it goes on.
- Do you see what I am referring to there?
- 25 A. Yes, I do.

Page 906

Page 903

- 1 Q. So in that column, in describing this section, there
- 2 is no electrically conductive fluid being introduced into
- 3 the surgical site; correct?
- 4 A. In that the instrument is introduced into the fluid
- 5 medium, it exists there and this is what is referenced to
- 6 before as the neutral environment, in contrast to a
- 7 sucking environment, which would be negative or a positive
- 8 environment where one was irrigating forward.
- 9 Q. Just to be clear here, what you are describing in
- 10 the paragraph that is up on the screen is an embodiment
- 11 where fluid is not being introduced into the brain cavity?
- 12 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 13 Q. Okay. Now, what you are describing here, then, is
- 14 using either neutral or some sort of negative pressure to.
- 15 suck up some of the fluid that is in the brain already;
- 16 correct?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. That would be the cerebrospinal fluid; right?
- 19 A. No. In the practical application, we always have
- 20 mixed salt water, or physiologic saline which has been
- 21 introduced by the endoscope for the exact same reasons we
- 22 do in arthroscopic surgery, it is to clear blood, maintain
- 23 that crystal-clear environment. So in appropriate
- 24 description, it is a mix.
- 25 Q. Fair enough. And when the energy is applied using

- 1 the course of a surgery?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 Q. Now, let's take a look at Claim 1 of the '882 patent,
- 4 which is JTX-2.
- MR. BOBROW: If you can go to the last Page of
- 6 ЛХ-2...
- 7 BY MR. BOBROW:
- 8 Q. Do you have that in your binder, sir?
- 9 A. I can bring it up, yes.
- 10 MR. BOBROW: If you would please, Chris,
- 11 highlight the last paragraph, that begins applying a high-
- 12 frequency voltage.
- 13 BY MR. BOBROW:
- 14 Q. Dr. Manwaring, in this paragraph, I just want to
- 15 make sure that I have down here the sequence at least as
- 16 you understand it of events that are being described here.
- 17 The first thing that happens is that a high-frequency
- 18 voltage is being applied between the active electrode and
- 19 the neutral, or return electrode. Is that true?
- 20 A. Yes. The return electrode.
- 21 Q. And by virtue of the application of that voltage,
- 22 then, the next thing that happens is that you vaporize
- 23 some fluid that is in the vicinity of the very tip of the
- 24 active electrode; right?
- 25 A. I agree.

- 1 your device, in this embodiment you are describing here, 1 Q. And then once y
- 2 the application of the energy isn't creating electrically
- 3 conductive fluid, is it?
- 4 A. No. It's not creating -- that electrically
- 5 conductive fluid is there at the tip.
- 6 Q. And the application of energy is not what is
- 7 generating either cerebrospinal fluid or saline or any
- 8 other fluid. True?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. Now, when you put either neutral or negative
- 11 pressure at the tip, isn't it fair to say that then some
- 12 fluid gets sucked in at the tip of the device; correct?
- 13 A. In the instance -
- 14 Q. So it goes --
- 15 A. In the instance of the neutral environment, the tip
- 16 is barely recessed. It is a non-contact technique. So
- 17 when the device is put into that space, fluid wells into
- 18 it readily.
- 19 Q. Wells up into the tube of this device?
- 20 A. That's right.
- 21 Q. And then the device is then placed in the vicinity
- 22 of the target tissue that you want to treat; correct?
- 23 A. Exactly.
- 24 Q. So isn't it fair to say, then, that if fluid remains
- 25 at or on the target site, that you are trying to treat in

- 1 Q. And then once you form that vapor area in the tip
- 2 of the electrode, then what happens is you get this energy
- 3 discharge, either, you know, plasma or arcs or what-have-
- 4 you at the tip of the device, sparks, for example?
- 5 A. You have used sparking, yes.
- 6 Q. Essentially what is described here in the '882 patent
- 7 is application of a voltage followed by a vaporization of
- 8 the electrically conductive fluid, followed by sparking;
- 9 is that right?
- 10 A. I agree.
- 11 Q. Now, your patent describes something different,
- 12 doesn't it?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. Well, let's take a look and see. Let's take a look
- 15 at Column 6 of your patent. Specifically, the paragraph
- 16 beginning at Lines 50 through 63?
- 17 MR. BOBROW: If you could highlight that,
- 18 Chris, I would appreciate it.
- 19 BY MR. BOBROW:
- 20 Q. Now, this is a paragraph that you had up earlier
- 21 when you were being asked questions on direct examination;
- 22 correct? Is that right?
- 23 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 24 Q. And when you had this paragraph up, you were saying
- 25 that this language described the paragraph that we were

Page 910

Page 907

1 just looking at in the '882 patent; correct?

- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And here, in your patent, in the '138 patent; it
- 4 refers in this patent, beginning at about Line 54, it
- 5 says, when end phase 40 is placed either in close proximity
- 6 to or in contact with tissue, as illustrated in Figure 5,
- 7 the sparking results in the generation of extremely high
- 8 temperatures, causing vaporization of the fluid within
- 9 region 46 and virtually instantly achieves temperatures
- 10 estimated to reach approximately 400 degrees Centigrade.
- 11 Then it goes on a little further down: Such RF sparking
- 12 followed by fluid vaporization is generally referred to
- 13 as fulguration and is well known.
- 14 Your patent, sir, is describing sparking
- 15 followed by fluid vaporization; correct?
- 16 A. Yes. Similarly, I could play with the words and
- 17 say when the device was placed into the field, as he says
- 18 here, the sparking emits. But it's clearly indicated in
- 19 the context that the device was actuated. It is also
- 20 clearly indicated that you cannot get sparking in a fluid
- 21 medium, because there is nothing to spark across. So it
- 22 has to be heated.
- 23 Q. Thank you. The thing is, I don't want to play with
- 24 the words. I am asking you that the words in your patent,
- 25 which has been asserted as prior art, shows in sequence

- I A. That's correct.
  - 2 Q. I would like to show you what was marked as DTX-424.
  - 3 I apologize, sir. I don't believe that's going to be in
  - 4 your binder.
  - 5 DTX-424 appears to be a copy of the report
  - 6 that you prepared; is that right?
  - 7 A. This is correct.
  - 8 Q. I would like to ask you about a portion of this
  - 9 report, and specifically, on the fourth page, there is a
  - 10 book labeled Claim 13 of the '882 patent.
  - 11 Do you see that?
  - 12 A. Yes.
  - 13 Q. And this was language that you prepared in connection
  - 14 with this case?
  - 15 A. I explained my interpretations. Mr. MacFerrin
  - 16 prepared this document. I reviewed and agreed that it was
  - 17 consistent with. So, yes, that's reasonable to state that.
  - 18 Q. So Mr. MacFerrin, Smith & Nephew's lawyers, prepared
  - 19 this document, you reviewed it and then signed it after
  - 20 comment with him?
  - 21 A. Yes, again emphasizing, I explained my opinion. He
  - 22 put it in paper. I reviewed it and affirmed that it was
  - 23 consistent with.
  - 24 MR. BOBROW: I would like to put up Claim 13
  - 25 of Page 4 of DTX-424.

- 1 sparking followed by the vaporization. Is that true?
- 2 A. Yes. And I want to be clear that the concept of
- 3 fulguration is a very well-known old phenomenon, which
- 4 means that sparking must jump from an electrode across to
- 5 another surface. And it implies that that order is well
- 6 known.
- 7 Q. Now, you had mentioned on your direct examination
- 8 that you are being compensated in connection with your
- 9 work on this matter?
- 10 A Yes
- 11 Q. Smith & Nephew is the one that is paying you?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. That is at the rate of \$450 an hour; is that right?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Now, have you worked with a man by the name of Dr.
- 16 Taylor in this case?
- 17 A. I have not worked with him. I have met him.
- 18 Q. In connection with the preparation of your expert
- 19 report in this matter, did you consult with him?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. Did you work with him?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. Did you two exchange drafts?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. The two of you worked independently. That true?

- 1 MR BOBROW: If you could highlight that,
- 2 please...
- 3 BY MR. BOBROW:
- 4 Q. And for Claim 13, this relates to the UV photon issue;
- 5 correct?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And you state that the '138 patent specifically
- 8 mentions sparking during operation. Then you cite to
- 9 Column 6, Lines 50 to 63; is that right?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Then you say, the spark results in the emission of
- 12 UV and other wavelengths of light; correct?
- 13 A. Yes
- 14 Q. And you signed this report; right?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. With that language?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 MR. BOBROW: Your Honor, may I approach?
- 19 THE COURT: Yes.
- 20 BY MR. BOBROW:
- 21 Q. I would like to show you now DTX-400. DTX-400 is
- 22 called Expert Report, Kenneth D. Taylor. Have you ever
- 23 seen DTX-400 before?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. This is the first time?

```
Page 911
   1 A. Yes.
                                                                   1 the one up, we are not going to put either that up or
   2 Q. If you could, please, turn in that document to the
                                                                      the other one up.
      section on Claim 13. And specifically on Page 62.
                                                                   3
                                                                              Thank you, counsel.
              MR. MacFERRIN: Your Honor, I object. This
                                                                             (Luncheon recess taken.)
                                                                   4
      exhibit has not been admitted into evidence.
   5
                                                                   5
              THE COURT: I don't want the whole exhibit
   6
                                                                   6
                                                                                   AFTERNOON SESSION
   7 admitted into evidence. It is impeachment. I am not
                                                                   7
      exactly sure where we are headed. Since it's lunchtime,
                                                                             (Proceedings resumed at 1:30 p.m.)
                                                                   8
      we will talk about it in a moment.
                                                                   9
             Members of the jury, I will remind you during
                                                                             THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Anything
                                                                  10
  11 your lunch break you are not to discuss the case among
                                                                  11
                                                                      before we bring the jury in?
  12 yourselves or with anyone else.
                                                                  12
                                                                             All right.
  13
             (At this point the jury then left the
                                                                  13
                                                                             (At this point the jury entered the courtroom
  14 courtroom, and the following occurred without the presence
                                                                      and took their seats in the box.)
                                                                  14
  15
     of the jury.)
                                                                  15
                                                                             THE COURT: All right. Mr. Bobrow.
  16
             THE COURT: Sir, you may step down.
                                                                             MR. BOBROW: Thank you, your Honor.
                                                                  16
  17
             (Witness steps down from stand.)
                                                                  17 BY MR. BOBROW: .
             MR. BOBROW: Your Honor, perhaps an instruction
  18
                                                                     Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Manwaring.
     should be given to the witness, in case he is unfamiliar
                                                                     A. Good afternoon.
     with the rules of our discussions.
 20
                                                                  20 Q. Before the break, I was asking you about Claim 13
 21
             THE COURT: All right. Hopefully the instruction
                                                                     of the '882 patent, your report on the subject and Dr.
 22 doesn't have to be given. I will remind counsel for the
                                                                 22 Taylor's report on the subject.
 23 defendant that he is not to discuss substantively the
                                                                  23
                                                                             Are you with me so far?
 24 witness' testimony, since he is on cross. But you may go
                                                                 24 A. Yes.
 25 ahead and start your lunch break, since it will not be
                                                                  25 Q. Now, do you still have your report in front of you?
                                                      Page 912
                                                                                                                       Page 914
  1 very quick.
                                                                  1 A. On Page 62?
  2
             With respect to this, of course, anything can
                                                                  2 Q. Your report, which is DTX-424, Page 4.
  3 be used to impeach an expert, even a rock. Generally,
                                                                  3 A. Yes, I do.
    it's real difficult because I generally don't allow
                                                                  4 Q. And when you were commenting in your report on the
    documents to be shown to a jury that haven't been admitted.
                                                                  5 '138 patent and its relationship to Claim 13, you wrote,
    But I certainly don't want to admit expert reports.
                                                                  6 quote, The '138 patent specifically mentions sparking
  7
            So the question is whether any of this should
                                                                  7 during operation, period. Column 6, Lines 50-63, period.
    be shown to the jury as opposed to your directing the
                                                                  8 The spark results in the emission of UV and other
    examination without the illustration. That's basically
                                                                  9 wavelengths of light; correct?
 10
    the objection at this point?
                                                                 10 A. Yes, mm-hmm.
11
            MR. MacFERRIN: It is, your Honor.
                                                                 11 Q. Now, if you can turn, please, to DTX-400...
12
            MR. BOBROW: Your Honor, I think it is fair
                                                                 12
                                                                            And this is Dr. Taylor's report; correct?
13 for the jury to see side by side the language that these
                                                                 13 A. Yes.
    two experts put together independently, they will testify
                                                                 14 Q. This is the report that you have never seen before;
    that it's the same language. I think I am entitled to
15
                                                                 15 right?
    impeach on that basis.
                                                                 16 A. That's correct.
17
            THE COURT: Don't use that word.
                                                                 17 Q. And turn to Page 62, please.
            MR. MacFERRIN: Your Honor, if I may respond.
18
                                                                 18 A. I'm there.
19 These exhibits are never going to come into evidence.
                                                                 19 Q. And you see in the middle of the page, there is a
20 They are expert reports. Earlier, a 510-K was used for
                                                                 20 discussion about Claim 13. Do you see that?
    impeachment. And that was not permitted to be shown to
21
                                                                21 A. Yes.
22
   the jury.
                                                                 22 Q. And this is about the '882 patent; right?
23
           THE COURT: That's true. So we have to play
                                                                23 A. That, I don't know, but it surely looks familiar.
   by the same rules.
                                                                24 Q. Yes. And you will see that in Dr. Taylor's report
```

All right. Despite the fact we let you put

Tuesday, May 6, 2003 Page 915

1 sparking during operation, period. Column 6, Lines 50-63

2 period. The spark results in the emission of UV and

other wavelengths of light.

Do you see what I'm referring to there?

A. Yes, I do.

Q. The language in your report and the language in Dr.

7 Taylor's report is identical, even down to the punctuation?

8 A. Okay.

9 Q. Except Dr. Taylor says Manwaring '138 and you say

10 the '138 patent; correct?

11 A. Okay.

12 Q. Is that true?

13 A. Yes, it looks like it to me.

14 Q. Now, take a look, please, if you would, at Page 5,

15 running over to 6 of your report. This deals with Claim

16 54 of the '882 patent.

17 Do you have that, sir?

18 A. I do.

19 Q. And you will see there that in discussing Claim 54

20 of ArthroCare '882 patent you wrote, quote, The '138

21 patent discloses a evacuating fluid generated at the

22 target site using a suction lumen with a distal end

23 adjacent the electrode terminal, period. Column 7, Lines

24 26 to 31?

25 A. Yes.

Page 916

1 Q. That's in your report; right?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. Now, take a look at Dr. Taylor's report. And if you

4 could turn to Page 81...

And at the top of the page, there is a

6 discussion of Claim 54. Do you see that?

7 A. Yes, I do.

8 Q. And there is a reference there to the Manwaring

9 '138 patent. Do you see that as well?

10 A. I do.

11 Q. And in discussing Claim 54, Dr. Taylor's report

12 states, quote, Manwaring '138 discloses a evacuating fluid

13 generated at the target site, using a suction lumen with a

14 distal end adjacent the electrode terminal, period.

15 Column 7, Lines 26 to 31.

16 Do you see what I'm referring to?

17 A. Yes, I do.

18 Q. And that language is word for word, coma for coma,

19 the same words as what is your report except you say the

'138 patent and he says the Manwaring '138 patent; right?

21 A. Looks like that,

Q. Okay.

23 MR. BOBROW: Thank you, sir.

24

2 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. MacFERRIN:

Q. Dr. Manwaring, I'll try to speak louder this time.

Do you have any education or training in

6 physics?

7 A. Yes.

Q. How about electrical engineering?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. Did you take courses in college on those subjects?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. In that college course on physics, did you cover

the experiment that you described that Newton had before

14 him?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Is that basic physics?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Do you use physics and electrical engineering

19 principles in your research?

20 A. Routinely.

21 Q. Now, when you signed your report, why didn't you

22 test for UV protons?

23 A. For the very simple reason that I hadn't been asked

24 to. I was given a charge to review and render an opinion

25 and I became very curious about whether there was

1 something unique about their instrument, and the more I 2 read into how the spark was being made and could see the

3 pictures of documents, I concluded that is identical to

4 how I do it, and so I have been provoked to look at that

5 further since our discussions.

Q. Well, before we --

MR. BOBROW: Your Honor?

BY MR. MacFERRIN:

Q. So was there no need or did you feel there was any

need for to you do any testing?

11 A. No, nothing was brought to my attention.

12 MR. MacFERRIN: Now, your Honor, I believe Dr.

13 Manwaring was asked about tests on cross-examination. I

14 believe that opened the door to the other matter that was

15 excluded.

16 THE COURT: No, it did not.

17 MR. MacFERRIN: Okay.

18 BY MR. MacFERRIN:

19 Q. Now, turning to the discussion of the Taylor report,

20 where he says that the spark results in UV protons, the

21 spark in your patent, does it surprise you that he agrees

22 with you?

23 A. Well, I would be surprised if he didn't. If someone

24 is skilled in the art of RF, I think if you looked at the

25 mechanism of how the energy was being passed through a

Page 922

Page 919

- 1 salt-laden water, I would be surprised if it isn't
- identical among all these devices that are used in that
- 3 environment.
- MR. MacFERRIN: Could I please have JTX-2?
- BY MR. MacFERRIN:
- Q. Could you please turn to JTX-2 in your binder, Dr.
- Manwaring, in the last page?
- MR. MacFERRIN: And could I have that up on 8
- the screen?
- 10 BY MR. MacFERRIN:
- 11 Q. What does this show?
- 12 A. This document is a certificate of correction that
- 13 refers to Claim No. 1 of the Eggers patent. And being a 13
- 14 certificate of correction, it demonstrates that a change
- 15 has been made and approved in the language of the first
- 16 claim.
- 17 Q. I'd like to ask you, Dr. Manwaring, you were asked
- 18 about the rate of saline in your patent. Does this claim
- 19 say anything about the rate of saline delivery?
- 20 A. No.
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

1

Page 920

- 2 Q. Does the rate of saline delivery have anything to do 3 with the validity of this claim?
- A. No, not whatsoever.
- Q. If I could ask you to turn now to your patent,
- DTX-46...
- MR. MacFERRIN: If I can have that up on the
- screen, please...
- 9 BY MR. MacFERRIN:
- 10 Q. I would like to ask you in particular to direct your
- 11 attention Column 7, Lines 26 to 31. I believe you were
- 12 asked about this on cross-examination. Do you see that
- 13 there?
- 14 A. Yes, I do.
- 15 Q. Now, the fluid that is, does it say the negative
- 16 pressure will drop fluid up into the tube?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Would that remove that fluid from the target site?
- 19 A. No. It's important to emphasize that the fluid
- 20 must always be present at the active electrode.
- 21 Q. Would there be some fluid that was removed from the
- 22 target site?
- 23 A. Yes. Fluid would always be there, and the evacuation,
- 24 whether it is sucking, essentially pulls fluid which is
- 25 salt laden, electrically conductive, by the electrode.

I That's the principle.

- 2 Q. Do you consider that evacuation?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Now, the fluid that is evacuated, would that include
- fluid that was generated at the target site?
- 6 A. It can.
- 7 Q. What kind of fluid would that include?
- 8 A. Well, heating in the presence of biologic tissue.
- 9 Let's say one is ablating, which means removing, tumor
- 10 tissue in the brain. That tissue is vaporized. And in
- 11 that vaporization is fluid in the form of gas, which
- 12 quickly mingles with the spinal fluid or the irrigated
- normal saline. So it's a mix again.
- 14 Q. Could you now turn, please, to Column 6, Lines 50 to
- 15 63?
- 16 MR. MacFERRIN: Chris, if you could pull that
- 17 up for me, I would appreciate it.
- 18 BY MR. MacFERRIN:
- 19 Q. Now, are you referring to fulguration in this
- 20 passage?
- 21 A. That's correct. Maybe I could define fulguration,
- 22 because I know it's an unusual term.
- 23 Q. I would appreciate it if you would explain to the
- 24 jury what the sequence of events in fulguration is?
- 25 A. Yes. In surgery, when we use electrosurgery, we

I can cut, we can coagulate or desiccate, or we can

- 2 fulgurate. That is essentially all we can do. When we
- 3 cut, we use one waveform and one voltage that incises
- 4 tissue and it has some heating effect, but it doesn't
- 5 really impart good sealing of blood vessels. So the blood
- 6 vessels can bleed, just like if you cut with a cold blade.
- 7 It's a little better than that.
- 8 When we contact desiccate, we have tied that
- electrode right to the face of the tissue and it drives
- current through the tissue. And as it goes by, it drives
- the moisture out of the cells, and it seals blood vessels.
- So we call it coagulation or desiccation, depending on
- what technique we want. 13

14 On the other hand, fulguration, which is the

subject of my patent, is to have an electrode stand off

- the surface of tissue and spark down to it. That sparking
- is very high voltage, to get that effect. You can't do it
- with low voltage or cutting. It has to be high. Typically
- a thousand volts would be used. And that spark comes down
- and hits the surface of the tissue and sears it, much like
- the searing you are familiar with in cooking. And that
- 22 preserves the tissue beneath, keeps it viable, but stops
- 23 surface bleeding.

24 In this device, when we work in spinal fluid or 25 normal saline, fulguration refers to the sparking effect.

10

14

Page 923

1 You don't see sparking in contact desiccation. And with

- 2 a magnifier, you might see it with cutting, but fulguration
- 3 is truly what makes visible spark to your eye. And that
- sparking is passing across the steam barrier into the
- saline, or as you get closer to tissue. And that's what's
- having the therapeutic effect.
- So it must have spark, it must have high
- voltage, it must have a gap and it must have non-contact.
- O. What happens first, the arc or the steam barrier?
- 10 A. The -- I will back up and explain this way.
- 11 Electricity passing through saline starts it to heat.
- 12 The heat turns into vapor. Now a spark can jump across.
- 13 Q. So, did you say you have vaporization before you
- 14 have a spark?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- 16 Q. Do you continue to have vaporization after the spark?
- 17 A. Well, the vapor collapses into the tissue. As soon
- 18 as the heat goes away, it's not sustained. Just like
- 19 boiling on a pot. People are familiar with that. The
- 20 bundle is a steam barrier.
- 21 Q. You were also asked about the fact that your device
- 22 is monopolar?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Does that matter to the validity of these claims of
- 25 the '882 patent?

Page 924

- 1 A. No, not in my judgment.
- 2 Q. Do you recall Mr. Eggers saying that that did not --
- 3 that his claim included monopolar devices?
- 4 A. That's right.
- 5 Q. Does that confirm your opinion, the fact that your
- 6 device is monopolar doesn't matter to the validity?
- 7 A. Yes, I know my device works in a bipolar mode, I
- 8 know my device works in a monopolar mode. I think that
- 9 is transparent to this issue of fulguration.
- 10 Q. You were also asked about your rate. Is that your
- 11 usual rate?
- 12 A. No. In fact, most of the time, as you might imagine,
- 13 I testify on issues of medical injury, like non-accidental
- 14 trauma, shaken baby, very commonly neurosurgeons testify
- 15 typically at about \$500 an hour if they were involved as
- 16 expert witnesses.
- 17 Q. Why are you charging less than your usual rate?
- 18 A. Well, I have a particular interest. I am quite
- curious, because my patent has been cited as prior art.
- 20 MR. MacFERRIN: Thank you, Dr. Manwaring.
- 21 THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much,
- 22 sir.
- 23 (Witness excused)
- 24
- MS. BOYD: Our next witness making his way

- 1 into the courtroom now is Warren Heim. He was mentioned
- 2 in Mr. Sparks' testimony. He is a mechanical engineer
- who specializes in medical devices. He was engaged by
- Smith & Nephew as a consultant in the development of the
- Control RF product. He is going to testify about that 5
- development, as well as some analysis that he did of the 6
- '882 patent early in that development process. The '882
- patent is the one we have also called the multiple-
- 9 electrode patent.
- 11 ... WARREN P. HEIM, having been
- duly sworn as a witness, was examined 12
- 13 and testified as follows ...
  - DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 15 BY MS. BOYD:
- 16 Q. Can you please introduce yourself to the jury and
- tell them a little about yourself? 17
- 18 A. Certainly. My name is Warren Heim. I live in
- 19 Boulder, Colorado. I live there with my wife. We have
- 20 been married for 23 years. We have four sons.
- 21 Q. That's quite a house you must have?
- 22 A. It is a busy one.
- 23 Q. What do you do for a living?
- 24 A. My wife and I own a small medical device research
- 25 and development company. The name of the company is
- 1 Team Medical. Team Medical develops new medical device
- 2 technology based on our internal R&D efforts, patents
- 3 the technology, and then licenses that technology to
- premium quality medical device companies.
- Q. Does Team Medical actually sell medical devices?
- 6 A. Team Medical does not manufacture nor sell products
- 7 of its own. We are an R&D company. We have laboratories
- 8 and analytical skills that we use to develop our
- technology that we then patent.
- 10 Q. Can you describe for the jury your educational
- 11 background, starting with college, please?
- 12 A. I attended Dartmouth College. Dartmouth is in
- 13 Hanover, New Hampshire. I was at Dartmouth for six years
- 14 and I received three degrees from the institution. In
- 15 1973, I graduated with an AB, that is a Bachelor's of
- 16 Arts degree, it was a liberal arts degree. I then went a
- 17 fifth year, and graduated with a Bachelor of Engineering
- 18 degree. I then was there another year, and graduated with
- 19 a Master's of Engineering degree. The specialty was
- 20 mechanical engineering.
- 21 Q. Can you describe what work you did immediately
- 22 after graduating with your Master's degree in engineering?
- 23 A. When I graduated in 1975, I initially worked in the
- 24 energy and environmental field. In particular, I worked
  - on various projects associated with converting coal and